

TEN230713DL – Coonamble Youth Empowerment Project – Assessment Matrix

Range of Marks	0-50	51-70	71-85	86-100
Criterion and weighting	Expected Assessment Components			
Insurances, child protection information and risk management (failure to provide sufficient details will disqualify a submission).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No insurance and/or child protection information provided (which will result in disqualification from further consideration). Little or no clarity in understanding potential and relevant risks involved in delivering the project and in addressing them. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some insurance and/or child protection information provided. Some clarity in identifying, rating and addressing relevant, potential risks in delivering the project. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All insurance and/or child protection information provided and is current; moderate level of clarity in identifying, rating and addressing potential, relevant risks. Some mitigating strategies described. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All insurance and/or child protection information provided and is current. Full and considered description and high level of clarity in identifying, rating and addressing potential, relevant risks. Mitigating strategies described for each identified risk.
Project Description and Rationale (20%)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor description of project and minimal explanation of its rationale. Assumptions about human reality and social reality are not made explicit. No evidence given of the framework's universality and inclusivity, nor where such an approach has worked previously. No evidence that key personnel have worked/served in previous projects utilising the proposed framework. No clear or detailed connection between the rationale and project plan is evident. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> General description of project and some explanation of its rationale. Few assumptions about human reality and social reality are made explicit. Little evidence given of the framework's universality and inclusivity is given, supported by evidence of where such an approach has worked previously in only one or two instances. Little evidence that key personnel have worked/served in previous projects utilising the proposed framework. Little connection between the rationale and project plan is evident. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed description of the project is given, supported by detailed explanation of its rationale. Some assumptions about human reality and social reality are made explicit with some explanation of their interrelationship made clear. Some evidence given of the framework's universality and inclusivity is given, supported by evidence of where such an approach has worked previously in several instances. Some evidence that key personnel have worked/served in previous projects utilising the proposed framework. Some connection between the rationale and project plan is evident. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A clear framework for the project is described in detail. Extensive explanation of the rationale for each of the framework's components is given, along with an explanation of the relationship between components and their complementarity. Assumptions about human reality and social reality are made explicit with coherence between the two evident. Evidence is given of the framework's universality and inclusivity, where such an approach has worked previously. Details given of the involvement of key personnel in previous projects utilising this framework. A clear, logical and detailed connection between the rationale and project plan is evident. Explanation given of how the project develops the capacity to become self-sustaining, and evidence given where this has been achieved in previous projects, utilising a similar framework and rationale.
Budget (30%)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor alignment between project aims, delivery methods, proposed outcomes and budget. Basic budget provided with little or no connection to deliverables. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some alignment between project aims, delivery methods, proposed outcomes and budget. Budget groups defined but not clearly related to expenditure on specific deliverables. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moderate alignment between project aims, delivery methods, proposed outcomes and budget. Budget justifies general deliverables for the project's intended outcomes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strong alignment between project aims, delivery methods, human resources, proposed outcomes and budget. Budget fully justifies each line item relating to specific deliverables for the project's intended outcomes.
Project Plan (25%)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poorly defined plan to achieve the specified deliverables. Relationship between steps of the plan and specified deliverable(s) not clearly described. Relevance of the plan and its outcomes to the specified deliverables and overall empowerment of participants is poorly described. Measures poorly described. Relevance to the demographics of the Coonamble LGA poorly justified. Poor description of roles, personnel and time commitment to the project. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plan describes generally how it will achieve the specified deliverables. Relationships between steps of the plan and specified deliverable(s) described in some detail. Relevance of the plan and its outcomes to the specified deliverables and overall empowerment of participants is described in general terms. Some measures described. Relevance to the demographics of the Coonamble LGA described generally. Roles and time commitment to the project described in general terms only. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plan describes how it will achieve the specified deliverables in some detail. Logic of the relationship between steps of the plan and specified deliverable(s) described generally. Relevance of the plan and its outcomes to the specified deliverables and overall empowerment of participants is described and demonstrated in general terms. All measures described. Relevance to the demographics of the Coonamble LGA described in some detail. Roles, personnel and time commitment to the project, timeframes and timelines to achieve deliverables described in general terms only. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plan describes how it will achieve the specified deliverables in significant detail. Logic of the relationship between steps of the plan and specified deliverable(s) described in detail, demonstrating feasibility. Relevance of the plan and its outcomes to the specified deliverables and overall empowerment of participants is described and demonstrated in detail. Relevant measures for each deliverable described in detail. Relevance to the demographics of the Coonamble LGA described in full detail and with demonstrable inclusiveness. Roles, personnel and time commitment to the project, timeframes and timelines to achieve deliverables described in full and specific detail. Clear evidence of a systematic approach to developing capacity of participants.
Experience and capacity to deliver plan (25%)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Roles and personnel not clearly specified. Little or no previous experience working with Local or State Government or non-government agencies in Australia (or other countries). Little evidence given of previous similar projects and successful outcomes. Little to no evidence of capacity to overcome unanticipated challenges. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Roles specified but no indication of personnel to fill roles by name. Some previous experience working with Local or State Government or non-government agencies in Australia (or other countries). Some evidence given of previous similar projects and successful outcomes. Some evidence of capacity to overcome unanticipated challenges. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Human resources mentioned by name with some indication of relevance of their experience to the project. Some previous experience working with Local or State Government or non-government agencies in Australia (or other countries) in a similar social empowerment project. Strong evidence given of the successful delivery of the outcomes of a similar project. Specific evidence of capacity to overcome unanticipated challenges. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Human resources named and resumes show clear relevance of experience to the project. Specific experience working with Local or State Government or non-government agencies in Australia (or other countries) in similar social empowerment projects. Strong evidence given of the successful delivery of outcomes of several similar projects. Specific and demonstrable evidence of capacity to overcome unanticipated challenges and examples given where this has been achieved in previous projects.
Declaration of any real or potential Conflict(s) of Interest	Assessed on a case-by-case basis: A declared or undeclared-but-apparent Conflict of Interest may disqualify a submission.			